

Sign up



#### **Dr. Jane Clare Jones**



@janeclarejones

Writer. Philosopher. Feminist. Princeologist. Daughter of the witches they forgot to burn. #NotYourSupportHuman

May. 31, 2020 3 min read



Another Play-for-Today.

Academic DudeBros Hate JKRowling II

This one teaches history, as we'll see, he knows ALL about gender from the classes that he teaches, and he's VERY big on empathy. Except for women.

Scene 1:

Man: That bitch is the WORST.



JK Rowling is easily in the top 5 wo Her abhorrent views combined with sue any critic into silence makes he

4:41 PM · May 30, 2020 · Twitter for Android

19 Retweets 14 Likes

Scene 2:

Woman: Um, I thought you were Mr Empathy.



Maybe your empathy is maybe not being equally distributed here bro?



#### Scene 3:

Man: But as well as believing in sex we also interpreted these goblins to emphatically prove she's evil.

Woman: It's interesting these two things make you think you can write this woman off when you're supporting a movement that violently threatens women.



Man: I didn't condone violence against women. I just fixated on these terrible things this transphobe has done while completely ignoring that the movement screaming about her transphobia regularly threatens women with violence.

Oraly Callagrice @OralyCallagrice

## **Threader**

Q

Sign up



Care to point to where I condoned three rape. If you think this is something tran deeply warped perspective and I would It is good to know that you think antise



17



Dr. Jane Clare Jones @janeclarejones
I am interested that you think JK Rowlir
on twitter while I just showed you a enc
threatening women with some pretty re

Scene 3:

Woman: Here are some examples of the violent threats we are receiving. Do you think maybe these people are in the top 5 worse people on Twitter about Ms Rowling?

Man: I was just being hyperbolic.



**Dr. Jane Clare Jones** @janeclarejo Are female people allowed to have



2

17



Craig Gallagher @CraigGallagher
I am extremely open to critique on
even a moment to understand who
hyperbole is my go-to tweet genre,
threatening violence are worse pec



1

17

Woman: Cool. So maybe you could stop casually demonising women that are trying to stand up to this and recognise that maybe we have decent political reasons why we



Scene 4:

Man: Um, I teach gender so I'm sympathetic to maybe it being a bit oppressive and shit but \*handwaye\*



Also, let me tell you how trans rights don't interfere with your rights while putting my fingers in my ears and going lalala while you explain otherwise.

By way of final flourish I will ridiculously assert that no one every illegitimately encroaches on other people's rights.



By this logic, you are harming trans people by insisting

Scene 5.

Woman: Don't be ridiculous dude. All instances of colonisation are cases in which someone illegitimately encroaches on someone else's rights.

What's happening here is appropriation, and it's interesting that you don't see that, b/c it's kind of central to patriarchy.

Q

Sign up

rather than being a structural analogy.

This is of some significance here. The left readily accepts that people are allowed boundaries. But just not women.

This is an interesting point.

Structurally, what the trans rights movement is doing is equivalent to the appropriative mechanisms of an act of colonisation.

That's not to say it's morally equivalent to an act of actual territorial appropriation and all the horror it involves.

HOWEVER. What interests me is that the left is currently in the grip of an almost totalising queerified 'no boundaries' discourse, complete with analogies to how all boundaries are like racism etc (apparently its fine for them to use the analogy there. Or at least, they are in

the grip of that discourse when it suits them - when they want to coerce women's boundaries, or when people try to pout up pretty much any sexual boundaries at all.

\*At the same time\*, the left also has a discourse about colonisation and appropriation, which is actually a

Q

Sign up

They don't believe that \*all\* people who assert rights over something being taken from them are oppressors.

Rather, it's that you are only allowed to assert that right \*if\* the left has \*already decided you are then oppressed not the oppressor.\*

This is how centrally the distortion of intersectional discourse is to trans activism. Because it is only by positioning women as the oppressor that the defence of their boundaries can be framed as an act of sovereigntist segregation and not as resistance to appropriation.

I do not in any way want to handwave the way women are implicated in perpetuating racial oppression.

However, I also need to point out how this discourse is being weaponised, \*by males,\* in order to justify the violation of women's boundaries.

As dude infers here, I'm a white woman, and so I don't get to complain about being appropriated and should just STFU. (HE TEACHES FEMINISM!!!!)

How fucking convenient for white males.

<End>

Q

Sign up

You can follow @janeclarejones.

Share this thread Bookmark

Tip: mention @threader\_app on a Twitter thread with the keyword "compile" to get a link to it.

Enjoy Threader? Sign up.

Since you're here...

... we're asking visitors like you to make a contribution to support this independent project. In these uncertain times, access to information is vital. Threader gets 1,000,000+ visits a month and our iOS Twitter client was featured as an <u>App of the Day</u> by Apple. Your financial support will help two developers to keep working on this app. Everyone's contribution, big or small, is so valuable. Support Threader by <u>becoming premium</u> or by donating on <u>PayPal</u>. Thank you.